

The Security Flag for the IPv4 Header

RFC3514

1

Typical problems

- ❑ Distinguishing packets hard for
 - Firewalls
 - Packet filters
 - Intrusion detection systems
- ❑ Why
 - Unusual pkts
 - vs. pkts of malicious intent
- ❑ Solution
 - Security flag in the IPv4 header

2

Syntax

- Unused bits
 - High-order bit of IP fragment offset field
- Assignment
 - Not left to IANA

3

Syntax (cont.)

- Bit layout
 - 0
 - +++
 - |E|
 - +++
- Assigned values
 - 0x0 bit set to 0: no evil intent
 - Hosts, network elements, etc.
 - SHOULD assume that the packet is harmless
 - SHOULD NOT take defensive measures (already implemented by most OSs)
 - 0x1 bit set to 1: evil intent
 - Secure systems
 - SHOULD try to defend themselves
 - Insecure systems
 - MAY chose to crash, be penetrated, etc.

4

IANA consideration

- Document defines behavior of security elements of the 0x0 and 0x1 bit values.
- Behavior for other values of the bit **MAY** be defined only by IETF consensus [RFC2434].

5

Setting the security bit

- Attack applications
 - **MAY** use suitable API to request it be set
 - System requirements:
 - No other mechanisms for setting
=> **MUST** provide API; **MUST** be used by attack programs
- Multi-level insecure OS
 - Special level for attack programs
 - Bit **MUST** be set by default for pkts from this level
 - System **MAY** provide API to clear bit for non-malicious activity by users who normally engage in attack behavior

6

Setting the security bit (cont.)

❑ Fragments

- If dangerous => **MUST** set bit
- Pkt with bit fragmented
 - => **MUST** clear bit in fragments
 - => **MUST** set bit in reassembled pkt

❑ Intermediate systems

- Used for laundering attack
- Relayed pkts **SHOULD** have the bit set

❑ Hand-craft applications

- Part of an attack => **MUST** set bit by themselves

7

Setting the security bit (cont.)

❑ Hosts inside firewalls

- Axiom: no attackers inside => **MUST NOT** set bit

❑ NAT

- Modify packets => **SHOULD** set evil bits

❑ Transparent proxies and email proxies

- **SHOULD** set bit in reply to innocent clients

❑ Scans of hosts with Intrusion detection systems

- Benign research
 - => bit **MUST NOT** be set
- Ultimate intent evil and destination IDS that alerts
 - => bit **SHOULD** be set

8

Processing the security bit

- Firewalls, etc.
 - **MUST** drop all inbound packets with bit set
 - **MUST NOT** drop pkts with bit off
 - Dropped pkts **SHOULD** be accounted in MIB
- IDS
 - **MUST** apply probabilistic correction factor
 - Known propensity for false negatives/positives
 - Evil bit set => log attempt probabilistically
 - Evil bit clear => log attempt probabilistically
 - A suitable admin interface **MUST** be provided

9

Processing the security bit

- Routers
 - Not security devices => **SHOULD NOT** examine bit
- End-Hosts
 - System dependent
 - **MUST** react appropriately according to their nature

10

Related work

- ❑ Only IPv4 evil bit
- ❑ IPv6 two options
 - Hop-by-hop option
 - Pkts that damage the network, e.g. DDoS
 - End-to-end option
 - Pkts intended to damage destination hosts
 - Contains a 128-bit strength evilness indicator
- ❑ Link layer
 - Bypass routers and hence firewalls
 - => link-layer scheme MUST denote evil. E.g.:
 - Evil lambdas
 - Evil polarizations

11

Security considerations

- ❑ Functioning of security mechanisms depends critically on evil bit set properly.
- ❑ Faulty components:
 - Inappropriately evil bit = 0
 - => firewalls will not function properly.
 - Inappropriately evil bit = 1
 - => denial of service condition

12